



INSTRUCTIONS FOR AUTHORS
ARTICLE TEMPLATE
TITLE 13 TNR UPPER CASES

MIHAI ANITEI*
University of Bucharest,
Department of Psychology

Abstract

This document outlines the main editing instructions and recommendations to be followed by authors submitting papers for publication in IJTTP. The abstract should have 150-200 symbols and will be presented as a single paragraph. The maximum number of key words allowed is 5. Italic 10 TNR.

Cuvinte cheie: *experimentală, psihologie, articol, publicare. Italic 10 TNR.*

Keywords: *experimental, journal, psychology, paper, publishing. Italic 10 TNR.*

1. INTRODUCTION/THEORETHICAL FRAMEWORK

1.1. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF EMOTIONS

1.2. POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE EMOTIONS

1.2.1. Positive emotions at work place

1.2.2. Negative emotions at work place

Text will be written with 11 TNR.

□ Autor corespondent:
Mihai Anitei.
Email: anitei_mihai@yahoo.com

2. OBJECTIVE AND HYPOTHESES

2.1. OBJECTIVE

- Objective 1
- Objective 2

2.2. HYPOTHESES

- Hypothesis 1
- Hypothesis 2

3. METHOD

3.1. PARTICIPANTS/SUBJECTS

Description of participants groups.

3.2. INSTRUMENTS/APPARATUS/STIMULI/MATERIALS

Presentation of instruments/stimuli/materials.

3.3. PROCEDURE

Description of procedure.

3.4. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Variables description. Experimental design if necessary.

4. RESULTS

Description of results. Articles must have an even number of pages 6, 8 to 12, including references and appendices. Reviews are accepted up to 4 pages, except those for reference books in psychology, for which accept reviews from 6 pages.

Authors are invited to submit for publication by email, in MS Word format, type. Doc, Times New Roman, size 11, single spaced, A4 page size, 5.0 cm space above, below 5.7 cm space, left 4 cm, 4 cm right. Post chapters written in bold capital letters (bold) character 11, and subsections with simple capitals.

All articles must be written in the style of scientific work, having: Theoretical Introduction, objectives and hypotheses, method: participants / subjects, tools / materials / equipment, procedure, experimental design, results, conclusions, references.

For English scientific style: Theoretical framework/Introduction, Objective and Hypotheses/ Method, Participants/ Subjects, Instruments/ Materials, Procedure, Experimental Design, Results, Conclusions, acknowledgement, references.

4.1. DRAFTING TABLES AND FIGURES

Tables, written in 8 TNR, along with explanations (title number), graphs, figures and legends associated will be integrated into written text.

For example:

Table 1. The Descriptive statistics for the independent and dependent variables (MODEL)

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation
1. Perceived mental health	47.53	4.68
2. Perceived physical health	23.61	2.59
3. Negative coping styles	5.64	1.75
4. Positive coping styles	2.35	1.38
5. Attachment to professors	5.68	2.36
6. Attachment to colleagues	6.93	3.71
7. Attachment to class and activities	5.32	2.46
8. Homework overload	7.54	3.62
9. Extra activities overload	5.42	3.37

It is desirable that the tables and images to be placed where they are comments about the content of the paper.

Table 2. The correlation matrix of the variables (MODEL)

Variable	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1. Perceived mental health	1.00								
2. Perceived physical health	.42**	1.00							
3. Negative coping styles	.09	.11	1.00						
4. Positive coping styles	.35 **	0.33**	-.23**	1.00					
5. Attachment to professors	-.32**	-.23**	.06	-.07	1.00				
6. Attachment to colleagues	-.41**	-.25**	.21**	.27**	-.24**	1.00			
7. Attachment to class and activities	-.28**	-.12	.08	.11	.22**	.28**	1.00		
8. Homework overload	.46**	.37**	.13	.09	.47**	.12	.05	1.00	
9. Extra activities overload	.31**	.45**	.24**	.19*	.38**	.06	.10	.43**	1.00

*p < .05, **p < .01

Figures will be edited separately and will be introduced to "insert picture". Avoid drawing figures drawing facilities in Word. For example:




Figure 1. Instructor-student relationship-regulation

4.2. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS RECEIVED THE EDITORIAL ARTICLES

Editorial team makes first selection of articles before they are sent for evaluation at least 2 referees. Assessment procedure is type double-blind peer-review, in accordance with international practice in the field of scientific publishing.

4.3. CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT TECHNICAL

It follows the format shown respect.

4.4. EVALUATION BY EXPERTS

Assessment procedure type double-blind peer-review aims to increase the scientific quality of published materials reviewed by: Select to publish valuable scientific material relevant scientific material removal for inadequate or not complying magazine profile, improving individual quality of materials selected for publication.

4.5. EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria underlying the reviewing process are:

A. scientific quality of the article:

- Scientific substantiation of the content of the study;
- Methodological approach;
- The degree of originality and novelty of the proposed theme.

- B. Relevance, impact and importance of the work:
- Relevance and novelty of sources used;
 - Clarity and accuracy of scientific reasoning and construction;
- C. The quality of the technical content:
- Relevant bibliographic sources;
 - Clarity, brevity and accuracy of text;

4.6. RESULTS REVIEW PROCESS

Author will be informed about the decision and recommendations of, maintaining, throughout the duration of this stage, confidentiality.

After reviewing procedure, the authors receive an email that are informed:

- "Acceptance for publication of the paper" if it corresponds in form and scientific content;
- "Acceptance for publication of the paper, after making corrections, additions, changes, etc."
- "Accepting work for publication in its current format."

After relaying accurate and complete manuscript of the author, based on expert advice, the article may be submitted to the same evaluators, who will give their views on whether publication.

4.7. PREPARING FOR PUBLICATION OF MATERIAL

Approved the final text of the article (after the changes suggested by the reviewers and opinion editorial) is sent to the person / people in editorial charge / responsible for editorial planning, the author will be informed of its occurrence.

The final decision on publication of manuscripts belongs editor and / or deputy chief Editors.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The authors of the works submitted for publication, can contact members of the editorial staff and Editorial throughout the reviewing process, to request status information that was manuscript.

Received at: 15.07. 2012, Accepted for publication on: 15.08.2012

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Here the author should write the grant support or other financing support.

REFERENCES

References in the text will be written with name and year. If more than three authors, write the name of the first author followed by "et al."

For example:

As shown in ... (Anitei, 2010) ... or

Discussed by Anitei... et al. (200X) ... and so on

Bibliography, written in 10, will be presented at the end of the paper, in alphabetical order, the model presented in the last section of this document.

REFERENCES

Aniței, M., & Chraif, M. (2010). Title of work. Location: Publisher.

Chraif, M. (2010). The counterproductive behavior. Bucharest, Romania: Editura Universitară.

For a chapter in a book:

Author, A. A., & Author, B. B. (2009). Title of chapter. In A. Editor, B. Editor, & C. Editor (Eds.), Title of book (pp. xxx-xxx). Location: Publisher.

Journal article without DOI:

Author, A.A., & Author, B.B. (2009). Title of article. Title of periodical, volume number (issue number), pp-pp.

Journal article with DOI:

Author, A.A., & Author, B.B. (2008). Title of article. Title of periodical, volume number, pp-pp. DOI: xx.xxxxxxxx

REZUMAT

În cadrul acestui document sunt descrise principalele recomandări și instrucțiuni de tehnoredactare care trebuie respectate de autori în vederea publicării unui articol. Rezumatul va avea între 150-200 simboluri. Italic 10 TNR.